



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/603,918

06/25/2003

Michael See

134101

4348

81352 7590
RG and Associates
1103 Twin Creeks
Allen, TX 75013

12/11/2009

EXAMINER

HOSSAIN, TANIM M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2445

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/11/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michele.zarinelli@gmail.com
patentpatent@gmail.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/603,918	Applicant(s) SEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Tanim Hossain	Art Unit 2445	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed device may be implemented as software per se. Software per se constitutes non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies (U.S. 2002/0059407) in view of Herrmann (U.S. 2001/0032259), in further view of Rangaraian (U.S. 5,828,830).

As per claim 1, Davies teaches a method of managing one or more local resource properties, each having a value, by one or more managed network devices in a network

Art Unit: 2445

comprising a network management system and a central data store, the method comprising the steps of: (a) monitoring the value of said one or more local resource properties (Abstract; paragraphs 0012, 0017); querying the local resource properties, determining a state, value, and quality of the local resource properties (paragraphs 0012-0014); generating a learning event report comprising the value of at least one of the one or more local resource properties (paragraphs 0019, 0054); and transmitting the learning event report to the central data store, wherein the value of at least one of the one or more local resource properties is recorded at the central data store and made available to the network management system for asynchronous processing (paragraphs 0055-0056, 0061, 0067); wherein the value of at least one of the one or more local resource properties is uploaded by the one or more managed network device, via a local resource manager (paragraphs 0067, 0098).

While suggested by the discussion of asynchronous communications, Davies does not per se disclose that the local properties are uploaded via a local resource manager independent of the retrieval of the value by the network management system. Herrmann teaches that the local resource properties are uploaded via a local resource manager independent of the retrieval of the value by the network management system, as claimed (paragraphs 0035, 0039, 0047-0048). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to explicitly include the uploading to a management system independently, as taught by Herrmann in the system of Davies. The motivation for doing so lies in the fact that independent, asynchronous communication allows for the system to perform other tasks without having to wait for responses to requests, which increases the efficiency of the networks. Davies suggests this concept, which therefore renders

Art Unit: 2445

the specific inclusion of this concept through Herrmann obvious to one of ordinary skill. Both inventions are from the same field of endeavor, namely monitoring networks.

Davies-Herrmann does not specifically teach the assessment of priorities to the local resource properties and including a priority test of the event. Rangaraian teaches querying the local resource properties, determining a state, value, and quality of the local resource properties and assessing a priority of the local resource properties, and generating a learning event report comprising the value and a priority test of the learning event of at least one of the one or more local resource properties, as claimed (Abstract; column 1, line 66—column 2, line 46; column 5, line 56 – column 6, line 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to include the ability of assessing priorities to the properties, and to include a priority test of a learning event on a report, as taught by Rangaraian in the system of Davies-Herrmann. The motivation for doing so lies in the fact that setting priorities would allow for the system to focus most on important events, which would increase system efficiency. All inventions are from the same field of endeavor, namely network monitoring.

As per claim 2, Davies-Herrmann-Rangaraian teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the central data store is a directory server (Davies: Figure 5; paragraph 0054).

As per claim 3, Davies-Herrmann-Rangaraian further teaches that the step of transmitting the learning event report to the central data store comprises the step of exchanging one or more Lightweight Directory Access Protocol messages (Rangaraian: column 1, line 66 - column 2, line 46).

As per claim 4, Davies-Herrmann-Rangaraian further teaches that the one or more local resource properties comprise one or more internal resource properties (Davies: 0019).

Art Unit: 2445

As per claim 5, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the one or more internal resource properties comprise one or more properties selected from the group consisting of: managed network device hardware configurations including network modules installed; managed network device software installations including the types of software, software version levels, and the date when such information was last updated; and managed network device identity information including device name, serial number of the chassis or primary management processor, location information, type of device, network interface module name, network interface module slot number, network interface module part number, network interface module hardware revision level, network interface module serial number, and network interface module date of manufacture (Davies: 0019, 0054).

As per claim 6, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the one or more local resource properties comprise one or more connectivity properties (Davies: 0019, 0054).

As per claim 7, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the one or more connectivity properties comprise properties selected from the group consisting of the OSI network model layer 2 and layer 3 addresses of an edge device, identification of the network interface module where the edge device is connected, speed of a port where the edge device is connected, one or more network protocols being used by the edge devices or systems, and an administrative and operational state of the link connecting to the edge device (Davies: 0019, 0054, 0098).

As per claim 8, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the step of monitoring comprises the steps of detecting one or more learning events and periodically polling for a current value of the one or more local resource properties (Davies: 0017).

Art Unit: 2445

As per claim 9, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian teaches periodically polling for a value, but does not specifically teach the use of specific 5 second to 5 minute intervals. Davies teaches the polling intervals are variable and configurable as needed (paragraphs 0068-0070). Official Notice is taken that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the specific intervals at which to poll for information, as specific polling intervals constitute design choices and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include.

As per claim 10, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the learning event report consists essentially of a value of at least one of the one or more local resource properties different from the value of the at least one of the one or more local resource properties of a preceding learning event report (Herrmann: 0040, 0048).

As per claim 11, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the method further includes, after the step of detecting one or more learning events, assessing the priority of the learning event detected (Rangaraian: Abstract).

As per claim 12, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the method further includes, after assessing the priority of the learning event detected, transmitting the learning event report to the central data store substantially immediately (Herrmann: 0040, 0048).

As per claim 13, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the method further includes, prior to monitoring value of one or more local resource properties, the step of acquiring the most recent value of each of the one or more local resource properties from an internal memory when the one or more managed network devices are initialized (Herrmann: 0040, 0048).

Art Unit: 2445

Claim 14 is rejected on the same bases as claim 1, as the instant claim discloses limitations similar to the earlier claim.

As per claim 15, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the central data store is a directory server enabled to exchange one or more Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (Rangaraian: column 1, line 66 - column 2, line 46).

Claims 16-19 are rejected under Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian on the same bases as claims 4-7 respectively, as the instant claims disclose limitations similar to those of the earlier claims.

As per claim 20, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian further teaches that the managed network device is a switching device further comprising: (a) a plurality of network interface modules (Davies: Abstract); (b) one or more packet processors for performing packet parsing and ingress packet processing necessary to perform switching routing (Davies: 0067); and (c) one or more memory devices for retaining one or more rules sets for switching and routing (Davies: 0054).

As per claim 21, Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian teaches an asynchronous network resource management system comprising: (a) at least one central data store (Davies: 0055-0056, 0061, 0067); (b) one or more local resource properties, each having a value (Davies: 0055-0056, 0061, 0067); (c) a plurality of managed network devices adapted to monitor the value of each of the one or more local resource properties, query the local resource properties, determine a state, the value, and quality of the local resource properties and assessing a priority of the local resource properties (Davies: 0055-0056, 0061, 0067; Rangaraian: Abstract); and transmit the value of each of the one or more local resource properties to the at least one central data store (Davies: 0055-0056, 0061, 0067); and (d) at least one network management system adapted to retrieve the

Art Unit: 2445

value of each of the one or more local resource properties from the at least one central data store, wherein the value of at least one of the one or more local resource properties is uploaded by the one or more managed network devices, via a local resource manager, independent of retrieval of the value by the network management system (Davies: 0055-0056, 0061, 0067; Herrmann: 0047-0048).

Claims 22-25 are rejected under Davies-Hermann-Rangaraian on the same bases as claims 4-7 respectively, as the instant claims disclose limitations similar to those of the earlier claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 23, 2009 have fully been considered and are respectfully traversed by the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tanim Hossain whose telephone number is (571)272-3881. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on 571/272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2445

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tanim Hossain
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2445

/Rupal D. Dharia/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
2400